

**Lecture 1: Quantum free scalar field theory**

- 1.1. Starting from the commutation relations for the ladder operators, show that  $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0$  if  $(x - y)$  is space-like. This is the so-called *microcausality* property of the free scalar field.

**Solution:** Starting with the definition of the quantum scalar field,

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} [a(\mathbf{p})e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}] \quad (1)$$

and the commutation relations,

$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger] = 2E(\mathbf{p})(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}), \quad (2)$$

$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a(\mathbf{q})] = [a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger, a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger] = 0, \quad (3)$$

we can write the commutator of the scalar field as

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi(x), \phi(y)] &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{q})} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \cancel{[a(\mathbf{p})e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a(\mathbf{q})e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} + a(\mathbf{p})e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}}]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a(\mathbf{q})e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} + \cancel{a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}}} \right] \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- \left[ \cancel{a(\mathbf{q})e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{p})e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}} + a(\mathbf{q})e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{p})y_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{p})e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \cancel{a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger e^{iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}} \right] \Big\} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{q})} \times \\ &\quad \left\{ [a(\mathbf{p}), a(\mathbf{q})^\dagger] e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} - [a(\mathbf{q}), a(\mathbf{p})^\dagger] e^{iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \right\} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \times \\ &\quad \left\{ e^{-iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 - i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} - e^{iE(\mathbf{p})x_0 - i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e^{-iE(\mathbf{q})y_0 + i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} [e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)} - e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}] \quad (7)$$

This is a Lorentz-invariant object, so to check if it vanishes for all space-like separations, we can evaluate it at one space-like vector; all are equivalent. Choose a frame where  $x^0 = y^0 \implies x - y = z = (0, \mathbf{z})$ . In this frame, you can see that the integral will vanish.

- 1.2. Prove that the components of the four-momentum operator commute with each other.

**Solution:** The four-momentum operator is

$$P^\mu = \int d^3x T^{0\mu}(x_0, \mathbf{x}), \quad (8)$$

where  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - g^{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right) - \epsilon_0 g^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}(x) - \epsilon_0 g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (9)$$

for some arbitrary constant  $\epsilon_0$  and the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2. \quad (10)$$

We want to show that

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0. \quad (11)$$

First, recall the canonical momentum

$$\pi(x) = \partial_0 \phi(x) \quad (12)$$

and its equal-time commutation relations with  $\phi$

$$[\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{y})] = i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (13)$$

$$[\pi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0. \quad (14)$$

Now we expand the commutation of the four-momentum operator:

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = \left[ \int d^3x T^{0\mu}(x_0, \mathbf{x}), \int d^3y T^{0\nu}(y_0, \mathbf{y}) \right] \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ \left[ \left( \pi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x) - g^{0\mu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi(x) \partial^\rho \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(x) \right) + \cancel{\epsilon_0 g^{0\mu}} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left( \pi(y) \partial^\nu \phi(y) - g^{0\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\lambda \phi(y) \partial^\lambda \phi(y) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(y) \right) + \cancel{\epsilon_0 g^{0\nu}} \right) \\ &\quad - \left[ \left( \pi(y) \partial^\nu \phi(y) - g^{0\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\lambda \phi(y) \partial^\lambda \phi(y) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(y) \right) + \cancel{\epsilon_0 g^{0\nu}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. \left( \pi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x) - g^{0\mu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi(x) \partial^\rho \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(x) \right) + \cancel{\epsilon_0 g^{0\mu}} \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the  $\epsilon_0$  term cancels trivially.

$$\begin{aligned} [P^\mu, P^\nu] &= \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ [\pi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x), \pi(y) \partial^\nu \phi(y)] + \cancel{[g^{0\mu} \mathcal{L}(x), g^{0\nu} \mathcal{L}(y)]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\pi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x), g^{0\nu} \mathcal{L}(y)] + [g^{0\mu} \mathcal{L}(x), \pi(y) \partial^\nu \phi(y)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we have now compressed the energy-momentum tensor contribution of the Lagrangian density, and since the Lagrangian density trivially commutes with itself we have already cancelled this term. Let's look at separate cases of  $\mu, \nu$ :

► Case 1,  $\mu, \nu \rightarrow i, j \neq 0$ :

$$[P^i, P^j] = \int d^3x d^3y [\pi(x) \partial^i \phi(x), \pi(y) \partial^j \phi(y)] \quad (18)$$

since the metric tensor will remove the Lagrangian in this case. Then we can use the Leibniz rule,

$$[AB, CD] = A[B, C]D + AC[B, D] + [A, C]DB + C[A, D]B, \quad (19)$$

to expand as

$$\begin{aligned} [P^i, P^j] = \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ \pi(x) [\partial^i \phi(x), \pi(y)] \partial^j (\phi(y) + \pi(x)\pi(y) \cancel{[\partial^i \phi(x), \partial^j \phi(y)]}} \right. \\ \left. + \cancel{[\pi(x), \pi(y)]} \partial^i \phi(x) \partial^j \phi(y) + \pi(y) [\pi(x), \partial^j \phi(y)] \partial^i \phi(x) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we see that two commutators are simply zero. The remaining commutators are found using the identity

$$[\partial^i \phi(x), \pi(y)] = i \partial^i \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (21)$$

leading to

$$[P^i, P^j] = \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ i \pi(x) \partial^i \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \partial^j \phi(y) - i \pi(y) \partial^j \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \partial^i \phi(x) \right\}. \quad (22)$$

The integral of a derivative of a delta function with some test function is given by

$$\int d^3y \partial^i \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) = -\partial^i f(\mathbf{x}) \quad (23)$$

and so the commutator becomes

$$[P^i, P^j] = i \int d^3x \left\{ -\pi(x) \partial^i \partial^j \phi(x) + \pi(x) \partial^j \partial^i \phi(x) \right\} = 0. \quad (24)$$

► Case 2,  $\mu = 0, \nu = i$ : When  $\mu = 0$ ,  $P^0$  becomes the Hamiltonian:

$$P^0 = \int d^3x \left\{ \partial^0 \phi \partial^0 \phi - \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right) - \epsilon_0 \right\} \quad (25)$$

$$= \int d^3x \left\{ \partial^0 \phi \partial^0 \phi - \frac{1}{2} \partial^0 \phi \partial^0 \phi + \frac{1}{2} \partial^i \phi \partial^i \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \epsilon_0 \right\} \quad (26)$$

$$= \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \epsilon_0 \right\} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \quad (27)$$

So then the commutator is

$$[\hat{\mathcal{H}}, P^i] = \left[ \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \epsilon_0 \right\}, \int d^3y \pi(y) \partial^i \phi(y) \right] \quad (28)$$

Let's take this term by term:

► Term 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \pi^2(x), \pi(y) \partial^i \phi(y) \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) \cancel{[\pi(x), \pi(y)]} \partial^i \phi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) \pi(y) [\pi(x), \partial^i \phi(y)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cancel{[\pi(x), \pi(y)]} \partial^i \phi(y) \pi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(y) [\pi(x), \partial^i \phi(y)] \pi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now perform the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ \pi(x)\pi(y)[\pi(x), \partial^i \phi(y)] + \pi(y)[\pi(x), \partial^i \phi(y)]\pi(x) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \int d^3x d^3y [\pi(x)\pi(y) + \pi(y)\pi(x)] \partial^i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \int d^3x \partial^i (\pi(x)^2) = 0. \quad (31)$$

➡ Term 2:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi(x))^2, \pi(y) \partial^i \phi(y) \right] = \frac{1}{2} [\partial^j \phi(x) \partial^j \phi(x), \pi(x) \partial^i \phi(y)] \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \partial^j \phi(x) [\partial^j \phi(x), \pi(y)] \partial^i \phi(x) + \partial^j \phi(x) \pi(y) [\cancel{\partial^j \phi(x)}, \cancel{\partial^i \phi(y)}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\partial^j \phi(x), \pi(y)] \partial^i \phi(y) \partial^j \phi(x) + \pi(y) [\cancel{\partial^j \phi(x)}, \cancel{\partial^i \phi(y)}] \partial^j \phi(x) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$= i \nabla \phi(x) \cdot \nabla \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \partial^i \phi(y) \quad (34)$$

Now integrating this result,

$$\int d^3x d^3y i \partial^j \phi(x) \cdot \partial^j \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \partial^i \phi(y) = -i \int d^3x \partial^j (\partial^j \phi(x) \partial^i \phi(x)) = 0 \quad (35)$$

➡ Term 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2(x), \pi(y) \partial^i \phi(y) \right] \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi(x) [\phi(x), \pi(y)] \partial^i \phi(y) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi(x) \pi(y) [\cancel{\phi(x)}, \cancel{\partial^i \phi(y)}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} m^2 [\phi(x), \pi(y)] \partial^i \phi(y) \phi(x) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \pi(y) [\cancel{\phi(x)}, \cancel{\partial^i \phi(y)}] \phi(x) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$= i m^2 \phi(x) \partial^i \phi(y) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (37)$$

Now integrating,

$$\int d^3x d^3y i m^2 \phi(x) \partial^i \phi(y) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = i m^2 \int d^3x \phi(x) \partial^i \phi(x) = 0 \quad (38)$$

➡ Term 4 trivially commutes

- 1.3. Prove that the operators  $\mathbb{P}_n$  are mutually orthogonal projectors on the  $n$ -particle sector of the Fock space.

**Solution:** We want to show that

$$\mathbb{P}_n^2 = \mathbb{P}_n, \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_n^\dagger = \mathbb{P}_n, \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_m = 0 \text{ for } n \neq m. \quad (41)$$

- Let  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ , with

$$\mathbb{P}_n^2 = \mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_n = \left( \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Pi_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| \right)^2 \quad (42)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int d\Pi_n d\Pi'_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| p'_1, \dots, p'_n \rangle \langle p'_1, \dots, p'_n|. \quad (43)$$

Using the orthonormality of the  $n$ -particle states, the inner product gives us

$$\langle p_1, \dots, p_n | p'_1, \dots, p'_n \rangle = n! \prod_{k=1}^n (2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p}_k) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}'_k). \quad (44)$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}_n^2 = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int d\Pi_n d\Pi'_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \left[ n! \prod_{k=1}^n (2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p}_k) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}'_k) \right] \langle p'_1, \dots, p'_n| \quad (45)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Pi_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| = \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (46)$$

- Take the Hermitian conjugate of the projector:

$$\mathbb{P}_n^\dagger = \left( \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Pi_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| \right)^\dagger = \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Pi_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| = \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (47)$$

- Knowing that the  $n$ -particle momentum eigenstates  $|p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle$  are normalised, then

$$\mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_m = \left[ \frac{1}{n!} \int d\Pi_n |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n| \right] \left[ \frac{1}{m!} \int d\Pi_m |q_1, \dots, q_m\rangle \langle q_1, \dots, q_m| \right] \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n! m!} \int d\Pi_n d\Pi_m |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle \langle p_1, \dots, p_n | q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle \langle q_1, \dots, q_m| \quad (49)$$

Since the Fock space is an orthogonal sum,

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(n)}, \quad (50)$$

the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$  of  $k$ -particle states are orthogonal to one another. Therefore the inner product in  $\mathbb{P}_n \mathbb{P}_m$  must be 0:

$$\langle p_1, \dots, p_n | q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle = 0, \quad (51)$$

which therefore makes the whole expression 0.

1.4. Prove that the state  $|p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\rangle$  are eigenstates of the four-momentum and calculate their eigenvalues.

**Solution:** An  $n$ -particle state is

$$|p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle = a^\dagger(p_1) \cdots a^\dagger(p_n) |\Omega\rangle \quad (52)$$

and the four-momentum operator can be written

$$P = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{p})} p^\mu a^\dagger(p) a(p). \quad (53)$$

It is trivial to show that

$$[P^\mu, a^\dagger(p)] = p^\mu a^\dagger(p), \quad (54)$$

and then we can see that acting on a single creation operator can be written as

$$P^\mu a^\dagger(p_1) = a^\dagger(p_1) P^\mu + p_1^\mu a^\dagger(p_1). \quad (55)$$

This relation can be applied recursively to a  $n$ -particle state, yielding

$$P^\mu |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle = P^\mu a^\dagger(p_1) \cdots a^\dagger(p_n) |\Omega\rangle \quad (56)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\mu \right) |p_1, \dots, p_n\rangle, \quad (57)$$

since  $P^\mu |0\rangle = 0$ .

1.5. Prove that

$$\{p_1 + p_2 \text{ s.t. } p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1\} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \text{ s.t. } p^2 \geq (2m)^2 \text{ and } p_0 > 0\}. \quad (58)$$

**Solution:** Reminder:

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \text{ s.t. } p^2 = m^2 \text{ and } p_0 > 0\}. \quad (59)$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^4$  with  $p^2 \geq (2m)^2$  and  $p_0 > 0$ . We need to find  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  such that  $p = p_1 + p_2$ . Choose the rest frame of  $p$ : define a Lorentz frame where  $p = (p_0, \mathbf{0})$ , and then  $p_1 = (\frac{p_0}{2}, \mathbf{q})$  and  $p_2 = (\frac{p_0}{2}, -\mathbf{q})$  such that  $p = p_1 + p_2$ . Then

$$p^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = \left\{ \left( \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}_1^2}, \mathbf{0} \right) + \left( \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}_2^2}, \mathbf{0} \right) \right\}^2 \quad (60)$$

$$= \left( 2\sqrt{m^2 + q^2}, \mathbf{0} \right)^2 = (2m)^2 + 4q^2, \quad q^2 > 0. \quad (61)$$

1.6. Prove that the following operator

$$L^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3x [x^\nu T^{0\mu}(x) - x^\mu T^{0\nu}(x)] \quad (62)$$

does not depend on  $x^0$  and that it generates Lorentz transformations on the field  $\phi(x)$ . In particular, for any real matrix  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  satisfying  $\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$ , show that:

$$e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}L^{\mu\nu}} \phi(x) e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}L^{\mu\nu}} = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \quad (63)$$

where  $\Lambda = e^\omega$  is a Lorentz transformation.

**Solution:** To show that  $L^{\mu\nu}$  does not depend on  $x^0$ , we want

$$\frac{d}{dx^0} L^{\mu\nu}(x^0) = 0 \quad (64)$$

$$= \int d^3x \left[ x^\nu \partial_0 T^{0\mu}(x) - x^\mu \partial_0 T^{0\nu}(x) \right]. \quad (65)$$

The energy-momentum tensor satisfies local conservation  $\partial_\lambda T^{\lambda\mu} = 0$ , in particular

$$\partial_0 T^{0\mu} = -\partial_i T^{i\mu}, \quad (66)$$

and thus

$$\frac{d}{dx^0} L^{\mu\nu}(x^0) = - \int d^3x \left[ x^\nu \partial_i T^{i\mu} - x^\mu \partial_i T^{i\nu} \right]. \quad (67)$$

Now we perform IBP on each term:

$$\int d^3x x^\nu \partial_i T^{i\mu} = \int d^3x \cancel{\partial_i (x^\nu T^{i\mu})} - \int d^3x \partial_i^\nu T^{i\mu} = - \int d^3x T^{\nu\mu}, \quad (68)$$

where we can set the surface term to 0. Substituting back in, we find

$$\frac{d}{dx^0} L^{\mu\nu}(x^0) = \int d^3x T^{\nu\mu} - \int d^3x T^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (69)$$

Since the energy-momentum tensor is symmetric, i.e.  $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$ , the two terms cancel.

To show that  $L^{\mu\nu}$  generates Lorentz transformations of a field  $\phi(x)$ , we aim to write

$$\delta\phi(x) = \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, \phi(x)] = -\omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \partial_\mu \phi(x), \quad (70)$$

which is the infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of  $\phi(x)$ .

- An infinitesimal Lorentz transformation acts on spacetime coordinates as

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \omega^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu \quad (71)$$

where  $\omega^{\mu\nu} = -\omega^{\nu\mu}$  are the infinitesimal antisymmetric parameters of the Lorentz group. Under this transformation, a scalar field  $\phi(x)$  transforms as

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \approx \phi(x - \omega x) = \phi(x) - \omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \partial_\mu \phi(x) \quad (72)$$

So the variation in  $\phi$  is

$$\delta\phi(x) = \phi'(x) - \phi(x) = -\omega^{\mu\nu} x_\nu \partial_\mu \phi(x) \quad (73)$$

- We can write the commutator identity

$$[T^{0\mu}(y), \phi(x)] = -i \partial^\mu \phi(x) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (74)$$

which tells us that  $T^{0\mu}(y)$  generates translations in the  $x^\mu$  direction.

- Now we want to commute the commutator of  $L^{\mu\nu}$  with  $\phi$ :

$$[L^{\mu\nu}(y), \phi(x)] = \int d^3y \left\{ x^\nu [T^{0\mu}(y), \phi(x)] - x^\mu [T^{0\nu}(y), \phi(x)] \right\} \quad (75)$$

$$= -i \int d^3y \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) [x^\nu \partial^\mu \phi(x) - x^\mu \partial^\nu \phi(x)] \quad (76)$$

$$= -i (x^\nu \partial^\mu - x^\mu \partial^\nu) \phi(x) \quad (77)$$

- Now we can recover the transformation:

$$\delta\phi(x) = \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} [L^{\mu\nu}, \phi(x)] = \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} [-i(x^\nu\partial^\mu - x^\mu\partial^\nu)\phi(x)] \quad (78)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} (x^\nu\partial^\mu - x^\mu\partial^\nu)\phi(x) = -\omega^{\mu\nu}x_\nu\partial_\mu\phi(x) \quad (79)$$

We want to calculate

$$e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}L^{\mu\nu}}\phi(x)e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}L^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (80)$$

We can use the BCH identity

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, B]] + \dots, \quad (81)$$

where in our case  $A = -\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}L^{\mu\nu}$ . So

$$e^A\phi(x)e^{-A} = \phi(x) + [A, \phi(x)] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, \phi(x)]] + \dots, \quad (82)$$

but this is just the Taylor expansion of  $\phi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$  since

$$\delta\phi(x) = -\omega^{\mu\nu}x_\nu\partial_\mu\phi(x) \quad (83)$$

is the infinitesimal shift under  $x \rightarrow x' = \Lambda^{-1}x$ .